



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/814,319	03/21/2001	Jorg Gregor Schleicher	2030P	3776	
7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER			
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			DADA, BEEMNET W		
P. O. Box 51418 Palo Alto, CA 94303			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
•	•		2135		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/814,319	SCHLEICHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Beemnet W. Dada	2135		

Develor and the grant of the control	Examiner	Ait Onit					
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1,2,7-17 and 22-30 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2,7-17 and 22-30. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31 and 32.		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected. <u>37 and 32.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. ☑ Other: PTOL-413.							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: with respect to claims 31 and 32 applicant argues that the art on record fails to teach "enabling subscription-based decentralized file downloads" Examiner disagrees. Examiner asserts that Scott teaches enabling subscription-based decentralized file downloads to the client nodes by allowing the client nodes to subscribe with the server node to periodically receive copies of one of the files, when providing a current subscribing client node with the file, locating the closest client node containing the file, and transferring the file from the closest node directly to the current subscribing node, thereby efficiently utilizing bandwidth [page 4, paragraph 0046-0049 and page 3, paragraph 0034].

KIM VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100